

Effect of the short-range interaction on low-energy collision of ultracold dipoles

Peng Zhang^{1,2,3,*} and Jianwen Jie^{1,3}

¹*Department of Physics, Renmin University of China, Beijing, 100190, China*

²*Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing, 100084, China*

³*Beijing Key Laboratory of Opto-electronic Functional Materials & Micro-nano Devices (Renmin University of China)*

We consider the low-energy scattering of two ultracold polarized dipoles with both a short-range interaction (SRI) and a weak dipole-dipole interaction (DDI) which is far away from shape-resonances. In previous analytical studies, the scattering amplitude in this system was often calculated via the first-order Born approximation (FBA). Our results show that significant derivations from this approximation can arise in some cases. In these cases, the SRI can significantly modify the inter-dipole scattering amplitudes even if the scattering amplitudes for the SRI alone are much smaller than the dipolar length of the DDI. We further obtain approximate analytical expressions for these inter-dipole scattering amplitudes.

I. INTRODUCTION

During the past decade, fast progresses have been made in the experimental study of complicated magnetic atoms [1–12] and polar molecules [13–15]. In an ultracold gas of these atoms or molecules, the interactions between particles include both a long-range dipole-dipole interaction (DDI) and a short-range interaction (SRI) (e.g., van der Waals interaction). The interplay between these two types of interactions can usually lead to intriguing quantum phenomena at both two-body and many-body levels. A well-known example is that, when the DDI is near a shape resonance [16], a small hard-core SRI can significantly shift the location of this resonance [17, 18]. In this paper we consider the opposite case where the DDI is away from shape resonances, and furthermore the low-energy scattering amplitude for the SRI is much smaller than the characteristic length of the DDI (“dipole length”). The scattering amplitude of atoms in this system can be calculated with exact numerical calculation [16, 19]. Nevertheless, in many previous analytical studies in this subject, the contribution of the DDI to the low-energy scattering amplitude is often calculated with the first-order Born approximation (FBA), under which the interplay between the SRI and the DDI is ignored [19–26].

In our investigation we calculate the low-energy scattering amplitude with the distorted-wave Born approximation [27–29] (DWBA), which is the accurate first-order approximation of the DDI. In the expression of the scattering amplitude given by the DWBA, there is one term which describes the DDI-SRI interplay (i.e., the SRI-induced effect for the inter-dipole scattering). This term is ignored by the FBA. We find that for the scattering of two ultracold bosonic dipoles, this term is negligible and the FBA works very well, provided that the s -wave scattering length of the SRI is much smaller than the dipolar length and the effects of the SRI in the high partial-wave channels can be neglected. Nevertheless, for the scatter-

ing of two fermionic dipoles, when the p -wave scattering volume of the SRI is large enough, the SRI-DDI interplay can be very important even if the scattering amplitude for the SRI itself is much smaller than the dipolar length. In such system the SRI-DDI interplay can significantly modify the low-energy scattering amplitude. Furthermore, this effect can occur for arbitrary small dipole moment. We also find a similar effect in the systems where the SRI is strong in the d -wave channel. The analytical expressions for the SRI-modified scattering amplitudes are obtained for all of these systems.

Our results are helpful for the study of ultracold dipolar gases. In particular, for the theoretical research on ultracold dipolar fermions, our analytical expression for the modified scattering amplitude is useful for the construction of a correct effective two-body interaction. Moreover, our results also reveal the possibility of controlling DDI via a weak SRI.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we derive the scattering amplitude of two polarized dipoles with the DWBA. With the help of this result, we discuss the SRI-induced effects for the inter-dipole scatterings in ultracold dipolar bosonic gases with weak SRI and ultracold fermionic dipolar gases in Secs. III and IV, respectively. In Sec. V we consider ultracold bosonic dipoles with a SRI which has strong effects in the d -wave channel. In Sec. VI there are some conclusions and discussions. We describe some details of our calculations in the appendixes.

II. SCATTERING AMPLITUDE GIVEN BY THE DWBA

A. Scattering amplitude

We consider the scattering of two dipoles polarized along the z -direction. The Hamiltonian for the relative motion is given by

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\mu} + V_{\text{sr}}(r) + V_d(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

* pengzhang@ruc.edu.cn

where $\mathbf{p}$ is the inter-dipole relative momentum, $\mathbf{r}$ is the relative coordinate, μ is the reduced mass, while V_{sr} and V_d are potentials for SRI and DDI, respectively. For simplicity, we assume that V_{sr} is isotropic. The DDI potential $V_d(\mathbf{r})$ is given by ($2\mu = \hbar = 1$)

$$V_d(\mathbf{r}) = 2a_d \frac{(1 - 3\cos^2\theta_{\mathbf{r}})}{r^3}, \quad (2)$$

where $\theta_{\mathbf{r}}$ is the angle between $\mathbf{r}$ and the z -axis (polar angle of $\mathbf{r}$), and $a_d = d_1 d_2 / 2$ is the dipolar length, with d_j the dipole moment of the j -th dipole.

In this paper we consider the systems where the dipole moments are weak enough, so that in the calculations of the scattering amplitudes we only need to keep terms up to the first order of V_d . On the other hand, in many systems the intensities of the SRI are very strong (for instance, the depth of the SRI between ultracold atoms can be as large as 10^{14}Hz [30]). As a result, the SRI cannot be treated as a perturbation. Thus, in our calculation we treat V_{sr} non-perturbatively. Such treatment is the DWBA [27–29]. The scattering amplitude given by the DWBA can be expressed as [28, 29]

$$f(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) = f_{\text{sr}}(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) - 2\pi^2 \langle s_{\mathbf{k}_f}^{(-)} | V_d | s_{\mathbf{k}_i}^{(+)} \rangle, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{k}_i$ and $\mathbf{k}_f$ are incident and outgoing momenta, respectively. Due to energy conservation, they satisfy $|\mathbf{k}_i| = |\mathbf{k}_f| = k$. Here $f_{\text{sr}}(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f)$ is the exact scattering amplitude for V_{sr} , while $|s_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)}\rangle$ and $|s_{\mathbf{k}}^{(-)}\rangle$ are the outgoing and incoming scattering states for V_{sr} , respectively.

To investigate the SRI-DDI interplay, we re-express the scattering amplitude given by the DWBA as

$$f(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) = f_{\text{sr}}(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) - 2\pi^2 \langle \mathbf{k}_f | V_d | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle + g(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f), \quad (4)$$

where $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ is the plane-wave eigenstate of the relative momentum operator with eigen-value $\mathbf{k}$. Here the amplitude $-2\pi^2 \langle \mathbf{k}_f | V_d | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle$ is contributed by the matrix element of the dipole-dipole interaction in the plane-wave basis. The remaining term

$$g(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) = -2\pi^2 \left(\langle s_{\mathbf{k}_f}^{(-)} | V_d | s_{\mathbf{k}_i}^{(+)} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{k}_f | V_d | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle \right) \quad (5)$$

is given by the difference between the scattering state $|s_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\pm)}\rangle$ for V_{sr} and the plane wave $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$. This term describes the SRI-DDI interplay or the SRI-induced effect for dipole-dipole scattering.

In the FBA, which is widely used in the research on ultracold gases with weak dipolar interactions, the amplitude $g(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f)$ is neglected. As a result, the scattering amplitude is approximated as

$$f_{\text{FBA}}(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) = f_{\text{sr}}(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) - 2\pi^2 \langle \mathbf{k}_f | V_d | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle. \quad (6)$$

In this paper, we carefully investigate the importance and behavior of $g(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f)$, and the validity of the FBA.

B. Partial-wave expansion

To understand the problem more clearly, we perform partial-wave expansion for the total scattering amplitude $f(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f)$ in Eq. (4):

$$f(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) = 4\pi \sum_{l,l',m} F_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k) Y_{l'}^m(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_f) Y_l^m(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i)^*, \quad (7)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|$ is the unit vector along the direction of $\mathbf{k}$, and Y_l^m is the spherical harmonic of degree l and order m . Here $F_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ is the generalized partial-wave scattering amplitude, and can be expressed as

$$F_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k) = F_l^{(\text{sr})}(k) \delta_{l,l'} + P_{l,l'}^{(m)} + G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k), \quad (8)$$

with $F_l^{(\text{sr})}(k)$ the l -th partial-wave scattering amplitude for V_{sr} . In Eq. (8) the k -independent parameter $P_{l,l'}^{(m)}$ and the function $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ are the partial-wave components of $-2\pi^2 \langle \mathbf{k}_f | V_d | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle$ and $g(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f)$, respectively, and satisfy the relations

$$-2\pi^2 \langle \mathbf{k}_f | V_d | \mathbf{k}_i \rangle = 4\pi \sum_{l,l',m} P_{l,l'}^{(m)} Y_{l'}^m(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_f) Y_l^m(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i)^* \quad (9)$$

and

$$g(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) = 4\pi \sum_{l,l',m} G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k) Y_{l'}^m(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_f) Y_l^m(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i)^*. \quad (10)$$

It is clear that, the generalized partial-wave scattering amplitude given by FBA is

$$F_{\text{FBA}(l,l')}^{(m)}(k) = F_l^{(\text{sr})}(k) \delta_{l,l'} + P_{l,l'}^{(m)}. \quad (11)$$

Comparing Eq. (11) to Eq. (8), we know that the SRI-induced effect or SRI-DDI interplay for the partial-wave scattering is described by the amplitude $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$.

In the following sections, we investigate the magnitude of $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ for ultracold gases of polarized bosonic and fermionic dipoles. To this end, we first re-express $P_{l,l'}^{(m)}$ and $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ as

$$P_{l,l'}^{(m)} = a_d D_{l,l'}^{(m)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} j_l(kr) j_{l'}(kr) dr \quad (12)$$

and

$$G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k) = a_d D_{l,l'}^{(m)} \times \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r} [\phi_l^{(+)}(k, r) \phi_{l'}^{(+)}(k, r) - j_l(kr) j_{l'}(kr)] dr, \quad (13)$$

where $D_{l,l'}^{(m)}$ is defined as

$$D_{l,l'}^{(m)} = 2i^{(l-l')} \int d\hat{\mathbf{r}} (3\cos^2\theta_{\mathbf{r}} - 1) Y_{l'}^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}})^* Y_l^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}). \quad (14)$$

Here $j_l(z)$ is the l -th order regular spherical Bessel function, $\phi_l^{(+)}(k, r)$ is the short-range radial scattering wave function in the l -th partial-wave channel, and satisfies $\langle \mathbf{r} | s_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{l,m} i^l \phi_l^{(+)}(|\mathbf{k}|, r) Y_l^m(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) Y_l^m(\hat{\mathbf{k}})^*$, with $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$ the eigen-state of inter-dipole relative coordinate operator with eigen-value $\mathbf{r}$. In the derivation of Eq. (13), we have used the fact $\langle \mathbf{r} | s_{\mathbf{k}}^{(-)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r} | s_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(+)} \rangle^*$, which is due to the boundary conditions satisfied by $|s_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\pm)}\rangle$.

Equation (13) shows that the magnitude of $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ is determined by the difference between the short-range scattering wave function $\phi_{l,l'}^{(+)}(k, r)$ and the free wave function $j_{l(l')}(kr)$ in the l -th (l' -th) partial wave channels. In the following two sections, for simplicity, we assume that the SRI effects in high-partial-wave channels are very weak. Accordingly, in the following two sections we only consider the effects induced by the difference between $\phi_0(k, r)$ and $j_0(kr)$ for dipolar bosons, and the effects from the difference between $\phi_1(k, r)$ and $j_1(kr)$ for dipolar fermions. In Sec. V we will consider a system where the difference between $\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r)$ and $j_2(kr)$ cannot be neglected.

III. SRI-INDUCED EFFECTS FOR BOSONIC DIPOLES

In this section we consider an ultracold gas of polarized bosonic dipoles. As shown above, we only consider the effect given by the difference between $\phi_0(k, r)$ and $j_0(kr)$. Therefore, terms $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ are non-zero only for $(l, l') = (0, 2)$ or $(2, 0)$. It is also easy to prove that $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k) = G_{2,0}^{(0)}(k)$. Thus, the SRI-induced effect for inter-dipole scattering is completely described by the amplitude $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k)$.

With a straightforward calculation based on Eq. (13) (appendix A), we obtain

$$G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k) = \frac{a_d}{3\sqrt{5}} \frac{ka}{(ika + 1)}, \quad (15)$$

where a is scattering length of the SRI. Furthermore, the amplitude $P_{0,2}^{(0)}$ contributed by the FBA is $P_{0,2}^{(0)} = -a_d/(3\sqrt{5})$. Therefore, $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k)$ is comparable to $P_{0,2}^{(0)}$ only when $ka \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit the SRI-induced effect is significant. On the other hand, when the s -wave scattering length a is comparable to or smaller than the dipolar length a_d , and the inter-atomic momentum k is much smaller than $1/a_d$, we have $|ka| \ll 1$. According to Eq. (15), in this case $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k)$ is much smaller than $P_{0,2}^{(0)}$, and can be safely neglected. In these systems the FBA is a very good approximation. This conclusion is also supported by Fig. 1, where the behaviors of $P_{0,2}^{(0)}$ and $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k)$ for systems with different scattering lengths are illustrated.




FIG. 1. (color online) The amplitudes $P_{0,2}^{(0)}$ (black solid line) and $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k)$ in ultracold gas of bosonic dipoles with short-range scattering length $a = 0.1a_d$ (blue circles), $a = a_d$ (red triangles) and $a = 3a_d$ (green squares).

IV. SRI-INDUCED EFFECTS FOR FERMIONIC DIPOLES

A. “Fast-decay” type SRI

Now we investigate the SRI-induced effects for the scattering of two identical fermionic dipoles. We first assume that the SRI potential $V_{\text{sr}}(r)$ is a “fast-decay” type potential, which decreases faster than every power of $1/r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and becomes negligible when r is larger than a characteristic distance b . In our system the SRI-induced term $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ can be obtained with an numerical calculation based on Eq. (13). Furthermore, in the low-energy limit where the short-range p -wave scattering amplitude can be approximated as $F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) = -vk^2$, with v the scattering volume of the SRI [31], we can obtain an approximate analytical expression for $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ (appendix B) with the help of the effective-range theory [29]:

$$G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k) \approx a_d \frac{v^2 k^2}{r_a^4} \lambda_m, \quad (16)$$

$$G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k) \approx 0, \text{ for } (l, l') \neq (1, 1). \quad (17)$$

Here $\lambda_0 = 2/5$ and $\lambda_{\pm 1} = -1/5$. r_a is a k -independent parameter determined by the short-range detail of $V_{\text{sr}}(r)$. It is defined as

$$r_a = \left[b^{-4} + \int_0^b \frac{\beta(r)^2}{r} dr \right]^{-1/4}, \quad (18)$$

where $\beta(r)$ is the k -independent real function which satisfies $\phi_1(k, r) \propto F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) \beta(r)/k$ for $r \ll 1/k$ (appendix B). Equation (16) yields that the generalized partial-wave scattering amplitude modified by the SRI-induced am-

plitude $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ is

$$F_{1,1}^{(m)}(k) \approx -vk^2 + a_d \left(1 + \frac{v^2 k^2}{r_a^4}\right) \lambda_m. \quad (19)$$

Here we have also used the fact that $P_{1,1}^{(m)} = a_d \lambda_m$.

Equations (16) and (19) show that, when the scattering energy is exactly zero, i.e., $k = 0$, we have $G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k = 0) = 0$ and thus the total scattering amplitude $F_{1,1}^{(m)}$ equals to $F_{\text{FBA}(1,1)}^{(m)}$ from the FBA. However, for the cases with finite incident momentum k , $F_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ is modified by the SRI-induced scattering amplitude $G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$. In particular, when $|v| \gg r_a^4/a_d$, $G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ is much larger than the short-range scattering amplitude $-vk^2$, and dominates the variation of $F_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ with k . In that case the increasing of $F_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ with k is significantly enhanced by such SRI-induced term.

This SRI-induced effect is important in systems where $|v|$ is much larger than both r_a^4/b and r_a^4/a_d . In these systems, when k is of the same order of magnitude as $r_a^2/|v|$ [32], we have $|v|k^2 \sim r_a^4/|v| \ll a_d$ and $v^2 k^2/r_a^4 \sim 1$. These facts yield $|G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)| \sim |P_{1,1}^{(m)}|$ and $|F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)| \ll |P_{1,1}^{(m)}|$. Namely, the SRI-induced amplitude $G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ is comparable to the term $P_{1,1}^{(m)}$ given by the FBA, while the short-range scattering amplitude $F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)$ is much smaller than $P_{1,1}^{(m)}$. As a result, the FBA is no longer applicable, although the short-range scattering amplitude is negligibly small.

In Fig. 2 we illustrate the above SRI-induced effect with a simple square-well model of V_{sr} , i.e.,

$$V_{\text{sr}}(r) = \begin{cases} -V_0 & \text{for } r < b; \\ 0 & \text{for } r > b. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In this model the scattering volume v is determined by the depth V_0 of the square well. We calculate the DWBA scattering amplitude $F_{1,1}^{(m)}$ with both Eqs. (8, 13), and Eq. (19). For comparison, we also calculate the scattering amplitude $F_{\text{FBA}(1,1)}^{(m)}$ from the FBA, and the short-range scattering amplitude $F_1^{(\text{sr})}$. In our calculations we take $a_d = 0.05b$. As shown in Fig. 2, the FBA works very well when the scattering volume v is small. Nevertheless, when v is large, $F_{1,1}^{(m)}$ significantly differs from $F_{\text{FBA}(1,1)}^{(m)}$, although the short-range scattering amplitude $F_1^{(\text{sr})}$ is still negligible. Furthermore, it is also shown that our analytical expression (19) is always a good approximation for $F_{1,1}^{(m)}$. For this system we also calculate the amplitudes $G_{1,3}^{(m)}(k)$ and $G_{3,1}^{(m)}(k)$. The calculation shows that, as predicted in Eq. (17), when $F_1^{(\text{sr})} \lesssim a_d$ these amplitudes are 3 orders of magnitude smaller than $P_{1,3}^{(m)}$ and $P_{3,1}^{(m)}$ from the FBA, and thus can be safely neglected.

Here we also point out that Eq. (19) can be rewritten as

$$F_{1,1}^{(m)}(k) \approx -v_{\text{eff}}^{(m)} k^2 + P_{1,1}^{(m)}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$v_{\text{eff}}^{(m)} = v - \frac{a_d \lambda_m}{r_a^4} v^2. \quad (22)$$

With this result, the effect from the amplitude $G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ can also be understood as “DDI-induced modification of the scattering volume”. Namely, due to the DDI-SRI interplay, the effective scattering volume of the two dipoles is shifted from v to $v_{\text{eff}}^{(m)}$, which depends on the quantum number m for the relative angular momentum along the z -direction. In previous works people have studied the variation of scattering volume by DDI in the *close* channel of a p -wave Feshbach resonance [33]. Since our calculation is based on a single-channel model, the shift of the scattering volume we study here is due to the DDI in the *open* channel.

B. “van der Waals type” SRI

Now we consider the case where the SRI is a “van der Waals type potential”, which has the behavior $-\beta_6^4/r^6$ when r is larger than a distance c . Here we assume both β_6 and c are much smaller than $1/k$. In this case, the effective-range theory cannot be used to study the scattering problem for V_{sr} [34]. As a result, we cannot use analytical techniques to derive approximate expressions for the scattering amplitudes. Thus, we study the SRI-induced effect via direct numerical calculation for $F_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ with Eq. (8). In our calculation we consider the limit $c \rightarrow 0$. In such a limit the scattering-state wave function $\langle \mathbf{r} | s_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\pm)} \rangle$ is the superposition of the incident plane wave and the exact solutions of the p -wave Schrödinger equation with van der Waals potential, which is analytically obtained by Bo Gao in Ref. [35]. The superposition coefficient is determined by the scattering volume of the system [34].

In Fig. 3 we show our numerical results for the scattering amplitude $F_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ given by the DWBA and the $F_{\text{FBA}(1,1)}^{(m)}(k)$ given by the FBA, and the short-range scattering amplitude $F_1^{(\text{sr})}$. In our calculation we take $a_d = 0.05\beta_6$. It is shown that, in our system the amplitude $G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ can also be significantly large when the p -wave scattering volume is large enough. In these cases $F_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ cannot be approximated as $F_{\text{FBA}(1,1)}^{(m)}(k)$, even if $F_1^{(\text{sr})}$ is much smaller than a_d .

Furthermore, we are surprised to find that our numerical result also agrees very well with expression (19) with $r_a = 0.4845\beta_6$ (Fig. 3). This fact implies that, although Eq. (19) is derived for the system with fast-decay type SRI, it can still be used to describe the scattering amplitude for the system with van der Waals type




FIG. 2. (color online) Scattering amplitudes (SFs) for ultracold fermions with square-well-type SRI. Here we plot the DWBA scattering amplitude $F_{1,1}^{(m)}$ given by Eqs. (8, 13) (red squares for $m = 0$ and red circles for $m = \pm 1$), $F_{1,1}^{(m)}$ given by Eq. (19) (black solid line for $m = 0$ and black dashed line for $m = \pm 1$), the FBA scattering amplitude $F_{FBA(1,1)}^{(m)}$ (blue triangles for $m = 0$ and blue diamonds for $m = \pm 1$), and the short-range scattering amplitude $F_{sr}^{(sr)}$ (black dashed-dotted line). The scattering amplitudes are plotted as functions of incident momentum k for the cases with scattering volume $v = 2b^3$ (a), $v = 27.5b^3$ (b) and $v = -20.4b^3$ (c), and as functions of scattering volume for the case with $k = 0.01/b$ (d). Here we only plot real part of the scattering amplitudes, because in the parameter regions of these figures the value of the imaginary parts of the scattering amplitudes are at least 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the real parts, and thus can be neglected.

SRI. Nevertheless, in the current system r_a becomes a k -independent fitting parameter.

The SRI-induced effect we discussed above can be experimentally observed via the measurement of scattering cross sections of ultracold fermionic dipoles. In Fig. 4 we illustrate the total cross section $\sigma \equiv \int d\mathbf{k}_i d\mathbf{k}_f |f_F(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f)|^2 / 4\pi$, where $f_F(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) = [f(\mathbf{k}_i, \mathbf{k}_f) - f(\mathbf{k}_i, -\mathbf{k}_f)] / \sqrt{2}$, for ultracold fermionic dipoles with van der Waals type SRI with $a_d = 0.05\beta_6$. It is shown that, when the scattering volume of the SRI is large enough, the scattering cross section is significantly enhanced by the SRI-induced effect.

V. SRI-INDUCED EFFECTS FOR BOSONIC DIPOLES WITH A STRONG D-WAVE SRI

In above two sections, we have neglected the difference between the short-range scattering wave function $\phi_l^{(+)}(k, r)$ and the free wave function $j_l(kr)$ in high partial-wave channels. Such approximation is applica-

ble when the low-energy short-range scattering effects in these channels are very weak. In this section we consider the ultracold bosonic dipoles with a “fast-decay”-type SRI which has strong effect in the d -wave channel. For such a system, both the difference between $\phi_0^{(+)}(k, r)$ and $j_0(kr)$ and the difference between $\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r)$ and $j_2(kr)$ should be taken into account. We find that, under particular conditions, the generalized partial-wave scattering amplitudes $F_{2,2}^{(m)}(k)$ and $F_{2,0}^{(m)}(k)$ can differ from the result given by FBA even when $k = 0$.

According to the effective range theory, for a “fast-decay” type SRI the low-energy d -wave scattering amplitude $F_2^{(sr)}(k)$ is given by

$$F_2^{(sr)}(k) = \frac{-1}{ik + \frac{1}{wk^4} + \frac{1}{s_*k^2} + \frac{1}{u}}, \quad (23)$$

with parameters w , s_* and u determined by the details of the SRI. In this section we consider the systems where s_* and u have the same order of magnitude as b . For these systems, with direct calculations (appendix C) we find that the SRI-induced amplitudes can be approximately




FIG. 3. (color online) Scattering amplitudes (SFs) for systems with van der Waals type SRI. Here we plot scattering amplitudes as functions of k for the case with scattering volume $v = -46\beta_6^3$ (a), and as functions of $1/v$ for the case with $k = 0.01\beta_6$ (b). The black solid line and dashed line are $F_{1,1}^{(m)}$ from Eq. (19) with $r_a = 0.4845\beta_6$. All other symbols have the same definitions as in Fig. 2. Here we also neglect the imaginary parts of the scattering amplitudes, which are at least 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the real parts.

expressed as

$$G_{2,2}^{(m)}(k) \approx a_d \left[\frac{3}{2} \frac{F_2^{(sr)}(k)^2}{r_b^6 k^4} + \frac{2}{5} \frac{F_2^{(sr)}(k)}{b} \right] D_{2,2}^{(m)}; \quad (24)$$

$$G_{2,0}^{(m)}(k) \approx a_d \left[\frac{F_2^{(sr)}(k)}{r_c(k)^3 k^2} + \frac{F_0^{(sr)}(k) F_2^{(sr)}(k)}{k b^3} \right], \quad (25)$$

$G_{0,2}^{(m)}(k) = G_{2,0}^{(m)}(k)$, and $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k) \approx 0$ for $(l, l') \neq (2, 2)$, $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$. Here the parameters $D_{2,2}^{(m)}$ and $D_{2,0}^{(m)}$ are defined in Eq. (14). The ranges r_b and $r_c(k)$ are defined as $r_b = (b^{-6} + \frac{2}{3} \int_0^b \beta_2(r)^2 / r dr)^{-1/6}$ and $r_c(k) = (b^{-3} + \int_0^b \phi_0^{(+)}(k, r) \beta_2(r) / r dr)^{-1/3}$, respectively, with function $\beta_2(r)$ satisfying $\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r \lesssim b) = F_2^{(sr)}(k) \beta_2(r) / k^2$.

Using Eq. (23) and Eqs. (24) and (25), we find that when $w = \infty$ the SRI-induced amplitudes $G_{2,2}^{(m)}(k)$ and $G_{2,0}^{(m)}(k)$ are non-zero in the zero-energy limit $k = 0$, i.e.,




FIG. 4. (color online) Total scattering cross section of two fermionic dipoles with van der Waals type SRI. Here we illustrate the cross section from the numerical calculation based on the total scattering amplitude f in Eq. (7), with $F_{1,1}^{(m)}$ given by Eqs. (8) and (13) (red circles), and Eq. (19) with $r_a = 0.4845\beta_6$ (black solid line), as well as and the cross section given by $F_{FBA(1,1)}^{(m)}$ (blue dashed line). We also plot the scattering cross section for the SRI itself (black dashed-dotted line). The cross sections are plotted as functions of incident momentum k for the case with $v = 44.6\beta_6^3$ (a), and as functions of $1/v$ for the case with $k = 0.01\beta_6$ (b).

we have

$$G_{2,2}^{(m)}(k = 0) \approx \frac{3a_d}{2r_b^6} s_*^2 D_{2,2}^{(m)}; \quad (26)$$

$$G_{2,0}^{(m)}(k = 0) \approx \frac{a_d s_*}{r_c(0)^3} D_{2,0}^{(m)}. \quad (27)$$

Therefore, when $w = \infty$, the SRI-induced amplitudes $G_{2,2}^{(m)}$ and $G_{2,0}^{(m)}$ can significantly modify the generalized parital-wave scattering amplitudes $F_{2,2}^{(m)}$ and $F_{2,2}^{(m)}$ in the zero-energy limit, although in this limit the d -wave scattering amplitude $F_2^{(sr)}$ of the SRI is exactly zero. It is pointed out that, this effect does not occur in the systems we discussed in the above two sections. According to Eqs. (15) and (16), in these systems, when the short-range scattering amplitudes are exactly zero (i.e., $a = 0$ or $k = 0$), the amplitudes $G_{0,2}^{(m)}$, $G_{2,0}^{(m)}$ and $G_{1,1}^{(m)}$ are also zero. As a result, the DWBA and the FBA give the same scattering amplitudes.

When w is finite, we have $G_{2,2}^{(m)}(k = 0) = G_{2,0}^{(m)}(k =$




FIG. 5. (color online) Scattering amplitudes (SFs) for bosons with square-well-type SRI. Here we consider the cases with $w = \infty$ (a, b) and $w = 2.2 \times 10^4 b^5$ (c, d). For each case we plot $F_{2,2}^{(0)}(k)$ and $F_{2,0}^{(0)}(k)$ obtained from the numerical calculations based on Eqs. (8) and (13) (red circles), and the calculation based on the approximated expressions (24) and (25) (black solid line below the red circles), as well as the results from the FBA (blue dashed lines). We also illustrate the d -wave short-range scattering amplitude $F_2^{(sr)}(k)$ (black dashed-dotted line). Here we also neglect the imaginary parts of the scattering amplitudes, which are at least 6 orders of magnitude smaller than the real parts.

0) = 0. Thus, when $k = 0$, the scattering amplitude given by the DWBA equals to the one from the FBA. Nevertheless, when k is finite and w is much larger than b^5 , the SRI-induced amplitudes $G_{2,2}^{(m)}(k)$ and $G_{2,0}^{(m)}(k)$ can still be significantly large even if $F_2^{(sr)}(k)$ is much smaller than the dipolar length a_d . This effect is quite similar to the one we discussed in the above section.

In Fig. 5 we illustrate the above SRI-induced effects with the square-well model of V_{sr} . It is clearly shown that when $w = \infty$, the total scattering amplitudes differ from the results given by the FBA in both the cases with $k = 0$ and $k \neq 0$. When w takes a large finite value, the FBA works well when $k = 0$, while the SRI-induced effects can be significant when k is finite.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work we investigate the interplay of SRI and DDI in the scattering of two polarized weak dipoles. We show that this interplay can be safely neglected for ultracold bosonic dipoles when the scattering length of the

SRI is much smaller than the dipolar length a_d , and the effects of SRI in high partial-wave channels are negligible. Nevertheless, for ultracold fermionic dipoles with large scattering volume, or ultracold bosonic dipoles with a strong “fast-decay” type SRI in the d -wave channel, the SRI-DDI interplay is important even when the scattering amplitude for the SRI is much smaller than a_d . In these systems the FBA is not applicable. We find analytical expressions for the scattering amplitudes of these systems. With these expressions one can construct appropriate effective interaction potentials in the many-body effective Hamiltonians.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11222430, NKBRF of China under Grants No. 2012CB922104. Peng Zhang also thank Hui Zhai, Shizhong Zhang, Wenxian Zhang, Doerte Blume, Shina Tan, Li Ge, Su Yi, Stefano Chesi, Ran Qi, Zhenhua Yu, Li You, Paul. S. Julienne, Wei Zhang, Hui Hu, Gang Chen and Hossein

Sadeghpour for helpful discussions.

Appendix A: SRI-induced amplitudes for bosonic dipoles

In this appendix we prove Eq. (15) in Sec. III of our main text. According to Eq. (13), the amplitude $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k)$ is given by $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k) = I_1 + I_2$, where

$$I_1 = -\frac{4a_d}{\sqrt{5}} \int_0^b \frac{1}{r} [\phi_0^{(+)}(k, r) \phi_2^{(+)}(k, r) - j_0(kr) j_2(kr)] dr; \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$I_2 = -\frac{4a_d}{\sqrt{5}} \int_b^\infty \frac{1}{r} [\phi_0^{(+)}(k, r) \phi_2^{(+)}(k, r) - j_0(kr) j_2(kr)] dr, \quad (\text{A2})$$

with b the range of the SRI. Here we have used the fact $D_{0,2}^{(0)} = -4/\sqrt{5}$.

As shown in Sec. II of our main text, we neglect the difference between $\phi_l^{(+)}(k, r)$ and $j_l(kr)$ with $l > 0$. Therefore, in above equations we can replace $\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r)$ with $j_2(kr)$. Furthermore, in the realistic systems of ultracold dipolar gases, the integration I_1 for the wave functions in the region $r < b$ can be neglected. Thus, the function $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k)$ can be approximated as $G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k) \approx I_2$. In addition, in the region $r > b$ the s -wave scattering wave function $\phi_0^{(+)}(k, r)$ can be expressed as $\phi_0^{(+)}(k, r > b) = j_0(kr) + kF_0(k)e^{ikr}$, with $F_0(k)$ the s -wave scattering amplitude for the SRI. Therefore, we have

$$G_{0,2}^{(0)}(k) \approx I_2 = -\frac{2d^2}{\sqrt{5}} \int_b^\infty \frac{1}{r} kF_0(k)e^{ikr} j_2(kr) dr. \quad (\text{A3})$$

For ultracold gases with $k \ll 1/b$, we can approximate the lower limit b of the integration in above equation as zero. Under this approximation and the relation $F_0(k) = -1/[ik + 1/a]$, with a the scattering length, we get Eq. (15) in our main text.

Appendix B: SRI-induced amplitudes for fermionic dipoles

In this appendix we calculate the SRI-induced amplitude $G_{l,l'}^{(m)}(k)$ for fermionic dipoles with a “fast-decay” type SRI, and prove Eq. (16) in our main text. According to the effective-range theory [29], we have

$$F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) = -\frac{1}{ik + \frac{1}{vk^2} + \frac{1}{r_{\text{eff}}}}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where v is the scattering volume and r_{eff} is the effective range. Here we assume r_{eff} is of the same order of magnitude as b . In our calculation we consider the low-energy limit where k is much smaller than both $1/b$ and

$\sqrt{|r_{\text{eff}}/v|}$. In this limit the p -wave short-range scattering amplitude given by Eq. (B1) can be approximated as $F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) = -vk^2$.

As shown in Sec. II, for ultracold dipolar fermions we only consider the amplitudes induced by the difference between $\phi_1(k, r)$ and $j_1(kr)$, i.e., the amplitudes $G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$, $G_{3,1}^{(m)}(k)$, and $G_{1,3}^{(m)}(k)$. Since V_{sr} is negligible in the region $r > b$, in this region we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^{(s)}(k, r) &\equiv \phi_1^{(+)}(k, r) - j_1(kr) \\ &= -i \frac{F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)}{r} \left(1 + \frac{i}{kr}\right) e^{ikr}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

On the other hand, Eq. (13) in our main text yields

$$G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k) = a_d D_{1,1}^{(m)} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3), \quad (\text{B3})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \int_b^\infty \phi_1^{(s)}(k, r)^2 \frac{1}{r} dr \\ &\quad + 2 \int_b^\infty \phi_1^{(s)}(k, r) j_1(kr) \frac{1}{r} dr; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\alpha_2 = - \int_0^b j_1(kr)^2 \frac{1}{r} dr; \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\alpha_3 = \int_0^b \phi_1^{(+)}(k, r)^2 \frac{1}{r} dr. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Using Eq. (B2), we directly obtain

$$\alpha_1 = 8\pi a_d \left[\frac{F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)^2}{4b^4 k^2} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)}{b} \right]. \quad (\text{B7})$$

In addition, since $kb \ll 1$, $|\alpha_2|$ is much less than unit. Therefore, in $G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k)$ the contribution given by α_2 are much smaller than $P_{1,1}^{(m)} = a_d D_{1,1}^{(m)}/4$, and thus can be neglected.

The factor α_3 can be calculated as following. It is clear that $\phi_1^{(+)}(k, r)$ satisfies the radial Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{r} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} r + \frac{2}{r^2} + V_{\text{sr}} \right) \phi_1^{(+)}(k, r) = k^2 \phi_1^{(+)}(k, r) \quad (\text{B8})$$

with the boundary condition $\phi_1^{(+)}(k, 0) = 0$. When $r \ll 1/k$, one can neglect the term $k^2 \phi_1^{(+)}(k, r)$ in this equation and thus obtain $\phi_1^{(+)}(k, r) = \alpha(k) \beta(r)$, where $\beta(r)$ is a k -independent real function. On the other hand, using the facts $b \sim r_{\text{eff}}$ and $kb \ll 1$ we find that, when r is both larger than b and of the same order of magnitude as b (e.g., $r = 2b$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1^{(+)}(k, r) &= j_1(kr) - i \frac{F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)}{r} \left(1 + \frac{i}{kr}\right) e^{ikr} \\ &\approx \frac{F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)}{k} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{k^2}{2} + \frac{ik^3 r}{3} + \frac{k^2 r}{3F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B9})$$

The fact $k \ll 1/b$ yields $k^2/2 \ll 1/r^2$ and $k^3r/3 \ll 1/r^2$. These results and $F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) = -vk^2$ imply

$$\phi_1^{(+)}(k, r) \approx \frac{F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)}{k} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{r}{3v} \right). \quad (\text{B10})$$

Therefore, we have $\alpha(k) = F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)/(2k)$, and thus

$$\alpha_3 = 8\pi a_d \left(\frac{F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)}{2k} \right)^2 \int_0^b \frac{\beta(r)^2}{r} dr. \quad (\text{B11})$$

Using the above results for $\alpha_{1,2,3}$, we obtain

$$G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k) = a_d D_{1,1}^{(m)} \left[\frac{v^2 k^2}{4r_a^4} - \frac{2vk^2}{3b} \right], \quad (\text{B12})$$

where the length r_a is defined as

$$r_a = \left[\frac{1}{b^4} + \int_0^b \frac{\beta(r)^2}{r} dr \right]^{-1/4}. \quad (\text{B13})$$

It is clear that we have $r_a \lesssim b$. Here we have used the fact that $F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) = -vk^2$.

We can calculate $G_{1,3}^{(m)}(k)$ and $G_{1,3}^{(m)}(k)$ with the similar approach as above. These calculations give

$$G_{1,3}^{(m)}(k) = G_{1,3}^{(m)}(k) = a_d D_{1,3}^{(m)} (\beta_1 + \beta_2), \quad (\text{B14})$$

where

$$\beta_1 = \frac{i}{36} k F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k), \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{105} \left(F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) k^2 \right) \int_0^b \beta(r) r^2 dr. \quad (\text{B16})$$

Here we have neglected the terms which is much smaller than $P_{1,3}^{(m)} = P_{3,1}^{(m)} = a_d D_{1,1}^{(m)}/36$.

Furthermore, in the low-energy limit $k \ll \sqrt{|r_{\text{eff}}|/v}$ we have

$$\left| \frac{vk^2}{b} \right| \ll \left| \frac{r_{\text{eff}}}{b} \right| \sim 1 \quad (\text{B17})$$

and

$$|k F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k)| \sim |vk^3| \ll |r_{\text{eff}} k| \sim |kb| \ll 1, \quad (\text{B18})$$

which yields

$$a_d D_{1,1}^{(m)} \left| \frac{2vk^2}{3b} \right| \ll P_{1,1}^{(m)}, \quad |a_d D_{1,3}^{(m)} \beta_1| \ll P_{1,3}^{(m)}. \quad (\text{B19})$$

In addition, in the practical cases, the short-range interaction potentials V_{sr} between ultracold dipolar atoms or molecules are deep potential wells. As a result, in the region $r < b$, the low-energy wave function $\beta(r)$ is a rapid oscillating function with small amplitude. Here we estimate the upper limit of $|\beta_2|$ as $|\frac{1}{105} F_p(k) \int_0^b r^2 dr| \cdot |\beta(r \sim b)|$. Using the facts $|\beta(r \sim b)| \lesssim |\frac{1}{b^2}| + |\frac{b}{3v}|$ and $kb \ll 1$, we find that in the low-energy limit we have

$$|a_d D_{1,3}^{(m)} \beta_2| \ll P_{1,3}^{(m)}. \quad (\text{B20})$$

Using Eqs. (B19, B20), we find that in the low-energy limit Eqs. (B12, B14) can be simplified as

$$G_{1,1}^{(m)}(k) \approx a_d \frac{v^2 k^2}{r_a^4} \lambda_m, \quad G_{1,3}^{(m)}(k) = G_{3,1}^{(m)}(k) \approx 0, \quad (\text{B21})$$

with $\lambda_m = 8/5$ for $m = 0$ and $\lambda_m = -4/5$ for $m = \pm 1$. That is Eq. (16) in Sec. IV.

Appendix C: SRI-induced amplitudes for bosonic dipoles with a strong d-wave SRI

In this appendix we prove Eqs. (24) and (25) in our main text. According to the effective-range theory [29], the d -wave scattering wave function for the “fast-decay” type SRI satisfies

$$\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r > b) = j_2(kr) + F_2^{(\text{sr})}(k) k h_2^{(+)}(k), \quad (\text{C1})$$

with the scattering amplitude $F_2^{(\text{sr})}(k)$ given by Eq. (23). Here we assume the parameters s_* and u have the same order of magnitude as b . In addition, it is clear that $\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r)$ satisfies the radial Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{r} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} r + \frac{6}{r^2} + V_{\text{sr}} \right) \phi_2^{(+)}(k, r) = k^2 \phi_2^{(+)}(k, r), \quad (\text{C2})$$

with boundary condition $\phi_2^{(+)}(k, 0) = 0$. In the region $r \ll 1/k$, one can neglect the term $k^2 \phi_2^{(+)}(k, r)$ and thus obtain $\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r) = \alpha_2(k) \beta_2(r)$, where $\beta_2(r)$ is a k -independent real function. On the other hand, using the facts that b and s_* and u are on the same order of magnitude and $kb \ll 1$, we find that when r is both larger than b and on the same order of magnitude as b (e.g., $r = 2b$), we have

$$\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r) \approx \frac{F_2^{(\text{sr})}(k)}{k^2} \left(\frac{3}{r^3} - \frac{r^2}{15w} \right). \quad (\text{C3})$$

Therefore, the function $\alpha_2(k)$ can be chosen as $\alpha_2(k) = F_2^{(\text{sr})}(k)/k^2$. Namely, we have

$$\phi_2^{(+)}(k, r \lesssim b) = \frac{F_2^{(\text{sr})}(k)}{k^2} \beta_2(r). \quad (\text{C4})$$

Substituting Eqs. (C1, C4) into Eq. (13) in our main text, we can straightforwardly prove Eq. (24) and (25) in our main text. In addition, we can also obtain $G_{2,4}^{(m)}(k) = G_{4,2}^{(m)}(k) = i a_d k F_2^{(\text{sr})}(k) D_{2,4}^{(m)}/72$. Since $(s_*, u) \sim b$ and $kb \ll 1$, we have $F_2^{(\text{sr})}(k) \ll b$ and thus $|k F_2^{(\text{sr})}(k)| \ll 1$. Therefore, the amplitudes $G_{2,4}^{(m)}(k)$ and $G_{4,2}^{(m)}(k)$ can be neglected.

-
- [1] A. Griesmaier, J. Werner, S. Hensler, J. Stuhler, T. Pfau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 160401 (2005).
- [2] J. Stuhler, A. Griesmaier, T. Koch, M. Fattori, T. Pfau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 150406 (2005).
- [3] A. Griesmaier, J. Stuhler, T. Koch, M. Fattori, T. Pfau, S. Giovanazzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 250402 (2006).
- [4] T. Koch, T. Lahaye, J. Metz, B. Frhlich, A. Griesmaier, T. Pfau, Nature Phys. **97** 218 (2008).
- [5] T. Lahaye, J. Metz, B. Frhlich, T. Koch, M. Meister, A. Griesmaier, T. Pfau, H. Saito, Y. Kawaguchi, and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 080401 (2008).
- [6] M. Lu, N. Q. Burdick, S. H. Youn, and B. L. Lev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 190401 (2011).
- [7] M. Lu, N. Q. Burdick, and B. L. Lev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 215301 (2012).
- [8] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, A. Rietzler, R. Grimm, and F. Ferlaino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 210401 (2012).
- [9] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, R. Grimm, F. Ferlaino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 010404 (2014).
- [10] A. Frisch, M. Mark, K. Aikawa, F. Ferlaino, J. L. Bohn, C. Makrides, A. Petrov, S. Kotochigova, Nature **507**, 475 (2014).
- [11] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, R. Grimm, J. L. Bohn, D. S. Jin, G. M. Bruun, F. Ferlaino, arXiv: 1405.1537.
- [12] K. Aikawa, S. Baier, A. Frisch, M. Mark, C. Ravensbergen, F. Ferlaino, arXiv: 1405.2154.
- [13] K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, M. H. G. de Miranda, A. Pe'er, B. Neyenhuis, J. J. Zirbel, S. Kotochigova, P. S. Julienne, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye, Science **322**, 231 (2008).
- [14] K. K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, D. Wang, G. Quemener, B. Neyenhuis, M. H. G. de Miranda, J. L. Bohn, J. Ye, and D. S. Jin, Nature **464**, 1324 (2010).
- [15] M. H. G. de Miranda, A. Chotia, B. Neyenhuis, D. Wang, G. Que'me'ner, S. Ospelkaus, J. L. Bohn, J. Ye, and D. S. Jin, Nature Physics **7**, 502 (2011).
- [16] B. Deb and L. You. Phys. Rev. A, **64**, 022717 (2002).
- [17] K. Kanjilal and D. Blume, Phys. Rev. A, **78** 040703(R), (2008).
- [18] Z. Shi, R. Qi, and H. Zhai, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 020702(R) (2012).
- [19] J. L. Bohn, M. Cavagnero and C. Ticknor, New. Jour. Phys., **11**, 055039 (2009).
- [20] S. Yi and L. You Phys. Rev. A **61**, 041604 (2000).
- [21] A. Derevianko, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 033607 (2003); erratum, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 039901 (2005).
- [22] M. A. Baranov, M. S. Mar'enko, Val. S. Rychkov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 013606 (2002).
- [23] R. Liao and J. Brand, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 063624 (2010).
- [24] T. Shi, J.-N. Zhang, C.-P. Sun, and S. Yi, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 033623 (2010).
- [25] D. Wang, New. Jour. Phys., **10**, 053005 (2008).
- [26] J. L. Bohn and D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 022702 (2014).
- [27] A. Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics*, (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1958), Vol. 1.
- [28] N. R. Newbury, A. S. Barton, G. D. Cates, W. Happer, and H. Middleton, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 4411 (1993).
- [29] J. R. Taylor, *Scattering Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1972.
- [30] Thorsten Khler, Krzysztof Gral and Paul S. Julienne, Rev. Mod. Phys., **78**, 1311 (2006).
- [31] According to the effective-range theory, the p -wave scattering amplitude for the “fast-decay” type SRI is given by $F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) = -\frac{1}{ik + \frac{1}{vk^2} + \frac{1}{r_{\text{eff}}}}$, where v and r_{eff} are the scattering volume and effective range, respectively. The low-energy limit we considered in Sec. IV. A is the limit $k \ll \sqrt{|r_{\text{eff}}/v|}$. In this limit we have $F_1^{(\text{sr})}(k) \approx -vk^2$.
- [32] Due to the facts $r_a < b$ and $r_{\text{eff}} \sim b$, the low-energy condition $k \ll \sqrt{|r_{\text{eff}}/v|}$ is still satisfied when $k \sim r_a^2/|v|$.
- [33] C. Ticknor, C. A. Regal, D. S. Jin, and J. L. Bohn, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 042712 (2004).
- [34] B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 4222 (1998).
- [35] B. Gao, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 1728 (1998).